WITHDRAWAL SHEET (PRESIDENTIAL LIBRARIES)

FORM OF DOCUMENT	CORRESPONDENTS OR TITLE	DATE	RESTRICTION
#1 memo	Dean Rusk to All Holders of NSAM 318 secret 1 p open/2-5-49	11/19/64	- A
#4 paper	U.S. Comments on the UK Proposal Top Secret 6 p open 2-24-94 NLJ 93- (Duplicate of # la, NSF, Comm. File, & Comm on Nue-Prolif., "NSAm 3da Stindelines for Assessions," Box17)	12/8/64	

National Security File, NSAM File, NSAM 318

(A) Closed by Executive Order 12356 governing access to national security information.
 (B) Closed by statute or by the agency which originated the document.
 (C) Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in the donor's deed of gift.

SECRET

Na 195 2.4

MEMORANDUM TO ALL HOLDERS OF NSAM 318

In the weeks immediately ahead we anticipate intensive intra- and intergovernmental discussions both here and abroad on the multilateral force and other matters affecting the nuclear defense of the Atlantic Alliance. Because of the complexity and importance of the issues involved, it is vital that the State Department speak with one voice on the questions under consideration.

Accordingly, I am designating Under Secretary Ball, ACDA Director Foster, Assistant Secretary Tyler, Assistant Secretary Greenfield, Ambassador Smith, and the regular Public Affairs Bureau spokesman as the only authorized officers in the Department to discuss with the press the status of governmental and intergovernmental MLF and related discussions.

Dean Rusk

5/ Rean Rush

SECRET

DECLASSIFIED
E.O. 12958, Sec. 3.5
State Dept. Guidelines
By 19, NARA, Date 12-15-99

NATIONAL SECURITY ACTION MEMORANDUM NO. 318

MEMORANDUM FOR

THE SECRETARY OF STATE THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Subject: The Future of the Nuclear Defense of the Atlantic Alliance

- In the next months we face highly important negotiations and decisions on this subject. These decisions relate not only to the MLF proposals now under discussion in Paris, but to the interests and concerns of the new British Government. It is also obvious that we shall have to take careful account of the interests and purposes of France.
- 2. Our own interest is, as it has been, to find the most effective means of advancing the partnership of the Atlantic Community in nuclear defense as in other matters, and to do this without giving encouragement to the spread of nuclear weapons.
- 3. To carry out these purposes effectively, it is essential that this Government should be united, and accordingly it is my desire that all of the activities of this Government relating to the nuclear defense of the Atlantic Alliance should be fully coordinated among the White House, the State Department and the Defense Department.
- 4. More specifically, I desire that all officess of this Government who travel overseas to discuss this matter should have written instructions cleared in the White House, the State Department and the Defense Department. Such instructions, where appropriate, should also be used by other officers of this Government as guidance.

SECRET

DECLASSIFIED
Authority NSC momo 5/23/78
By MH, NARS, Date 6/6/78

- 5. I also desire that the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense should make an explicit designation of those officers who are authorized to discuss these problems with the press, and that other officers should be instructed to refrain from such discussion during this period of critical negotiation. A parallel arrangement will be instituted in the White House. It is critically important that this Government should speak with one voice on this subject in the future.
- 6. Finally, I request that detailed recommendations and proposals should be worked out for my consideration by the end of this month so that there may be time for careful consideration and decision before my meeting with Prime Minister Wilson on December 7 and 8.

15/ EBJ

SECRET-

NATIONAL SECURITY ACTION MEMORANDUM NO. 318

MEMORANDUM FOR

THE SECRETARY OF STATE
THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Subject: The Future of the Nuclear Defense of the Atlantic Alliance

- 1. In the next months we face highly important negotiations and decisions on this subject. These decisions relate not only to the MLF proposals now under discussion in Paris, but to the interests and concerns of the new British Government. It is also obvious that we shall have to take careful account of the interests and purposes of France.
- 2. Our own interest is, as it has been, to find the most effective means of advancing the partnership of the Atlantic Community in nuclear defense as in other matters, and to do this without giving encouragement to the spread of nuclear weapons.
- 3. To carry out these purposes effectively, it is essential that this Government should be united, and accordingly it is my desire that all of the activities of this Government relating to the nuclear defense of the Atlantic Alliance should be fully coordinated among the White House, the State Department and the Defense Department.
- 4. More specifically, I desire that all officess of this Government who travel overseas to discuss this matter should have written instructions cleared in the White House, the State Department and the Defense Department. Such instructions, where appropriate, should also be used by other officers of this Government as guidance.

SECRET

Authority A/SC wems 5/23/78
By MTE, NARS, Date 6/1/78

- 5. I also desire that the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense should make an explicit designation of those officers who are authorized to discuss these problems with the press, and that other officers should be instructed to refrain from such discussion during this period of critical negotiation. A parallel arrangement will be instituted in the White House. It is critically important that this Government should speak with one voice on this subject in the future.
- 6. Finally, I request that detailed recommendations and proposals should be worked out for my consideration by the end of this month so that there may be time for careful consideration and decision before my meeting with Prime Minister Wilson on December 7 and 8.

/5/ LBJ

U. S. Comments on the UK Proposal of a Project for an Atlantic Nuclear Force

1. Preamble

After review of our own views and those of our allies, and after particular consideration of the proposals of HMG for an Atlantic nuclear force, we offer the following comments relating to the establishment of such a force. These comments are designed to take account both of the extended discussions which have occurred since 1962 and of the new proposals of HMG.

We believe that any successful plan must be responsive to the real requirements of as many members of the Alliance as possible. In this spirit, we emphasize that these suggestions are subject to discussion and revision in the light of the comments which will be sought from other interested governments.

As the President and the Prime Minister have agreed from the beginning of these discussions, no agreements or commitments are being made in this first exchange of views. Moreover, the issues before us extend far beyond the interests of our two nations alone, and any future agreement must be acceptable to the Alliance.

TOP SECRET

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 12356, Sec. 3.4

NEJ 93-39

By , NARA, Date 1-25-94

2. Objectives

We believe that any new arrangement of nuclear forces of NATO must meet the following objectives:

- a. To deter nuclear proliferation by making it possible for non-nuclear members of the Atlantic Alliance to participate in the ownership, management and control of NATO's nuclear forces through collective action and without the creation of new independent national nuclear systems.
- <u>b</u>. To strengthen the unity of the Alliance by providing for systematic and greatly increased collaboration and consultation in the nuclear field.

3. Specific Comments on the UK's proposed force.

We believe that it would be appropriate to have discussions with other interested NATO governments of a concept of an Atlantic nuclear force which might have the following components:

- a. Three or four POLARIS submarines to be transferred to the force by the British Government.
- b. Such elements of the British V-bomber force as HMG is prepared to contribute.
- c. A POLARIS surface fleet having substantially the characteristics -- if not the size -- of that contemplated in the discussions of the working group in Paris. This force should have a

— TOP SECRET

size adequate to the needs and interests of non-nuclear powers which wish to participate in it, but we are prepared to discuss reductions from the initial proposals in the light of other contributions now in contemplation.

d. Such strategic nuclear forces as the United States or France might be prepared to subscribe.

4. Characteristics of the Force

- a. We consider it essential that all elements of the force be under a common command and control arrangement, including permissive action links. While the POLARIS submarines could be organized at least initially on a basis of national manning, the eventual mixed-manning of submarines should not be precluded if subsequent studies indicate its feasibility and desirability.
- <u>b.</u> We also consider it essential to the success of this proposal that there should be a substantial UK contribution of manpower for the operation of the mixed-manned surface force, in order to insure that this arrangement commands the confidence of other participants.
- c. Creation of this force should be conceived not as an addition to strategic forces that would otherwise be provided, but as a partial substitute, since it is the current expectation that presently

- TOP SECRET

programmed U. S. forces will be appropriately reduced as the new force comes into being.

- d. We believe that the new force, whatever its eventual components, should have a strength of not less than 200 missiles.
- e. All the weapons systems transferred to the ANF would be committed for the life of the force. In the event of dissolution of the force, submarines and bombers would revert to the national ownership of the respective contributing state. Missiles and warheads in the surface fleet would also be returned to the supplying country.
- <u>f.</u> We are prepared to consider a change in the name of the force, but we believe a decision on the name should be reserved to later multilateral negotiations.

5. Contribution of the United States Forces

Should the concept discussed above prove acceptable to other allies, we would be prepared to consider a contribution to this new force of certain U. S. strategic weapons provided that discussions with other allies indicate a general desire for such a contribution, and provided that it can be made on terms which are practicable for the United States.

6. Non-Dissemination

The treaty establishing the new arrangements should include undertakings whereby nuclear members would agree not to disseminate

nuclear weapons and the non-nuclear members would undertake not to acquire, or obtain control over, them.

7. Command Arrangements in Relat ion to NATO

We take note of the suggestion that the Atlantic missile force described above might be assigned to a separate commander. We are also familiar with the strongly expressed view of other allies that this force should be under the command of SACEUR. We think that this question of command should be left open for discussion among all interested parties.

8. Voting Arrangements-

The agreement of the United States would be required in order to fire the force. The votes of the European members should be cast in a manner agreed to by them. The voting procedure could be revised only with the agreement of all of the participating nations.

9. Periodic Meetings of the Ministers of Defense

In order to make more effective the present procedures for consultation among the Western Powers, we suggest periodic meetings of the Ministers of Defense of the United States, United Kingdom, France, Germany, and Italy. These meetings would be held on an informal basis, and would consider targetting policy, new nuclear and conventional weapons

TOP SECRET

developments, nuclear dispersal plans, future force structure, resource allocation, and strategy.

10 Review Provisions

We believe any agreement should contain provisions for review of the arrangements if (a) Germany is reunified; (b) a unified Europe is established, or (c) there is a major movement toward arms control or disarmament.

As stated in 8 above, any new agreement would require the approval of all participants, and we note that in the case of the U. S. any change in control arrangements would be subject to full Constitutional approval.

The agreement should be so drafted that termination of the Force would not result in the creation of new national nuclear systems.

11. Future Procedure

- a. A meeting of representatives of interested governments should be held early in 1965. They should be asked to review these matters and to prepare recommendations for their respective Governments.
- b. At an early stage in the development of these recommendations, and well before any final agreements are reached among interested
 parties, arrangements should be made for discussions with the Government of France.

TOP SECRET

November 14, 1964

SECRET

NATIONAL SECURITY ACTION MEMORANDUM NO. 318

MEMORANDUM FOR

THE SECRETARY OF STATE
THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Subject: The Future of the Nuclear Defense of the Atlantic Alliance

- 1. In the next months we face highly important negotiations and decisions on this subject. These decisions relate not only to the MLF proposals now under discussion in Paris, but to the interests and concerns of the new British Government. It is also obvious that we shall have to take careful account of the interests and purposes of France.
- 2. Our own interest is, as it has been, to find the most effective means of advancing the partnership of the Atlantic Community in nuclear defense as in other matters, and to do this without giving encouragement to the spread of nuclear weapons.
- 3. To carry out these purposes effectively, it is essential that this Government should be united, and accordingly it is my desire that all of the activities of this Government relating to the nuclear defense of the Atlantic Alliance should be fully coordinated among the White House, the State Department and the Defense Department.
- 4. More specifically, I desire that all officers of this Government who travel overseas to discuss this matter should have written instructions cleared in the White House, the State Department and the Defense Department. Such instructions, where appropriate, should also be used by other officers of this Government as guidance.

_SECRET ---

DECLASSIFIED

Authority NSC 77 E 00243

By 12, NARA, Date 12-8-87

- 5. I also desire that the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense should make an explicit designation of those officers who are authorized to discuss these problems with the press, and that other officers should be instructed to refrain from such discussion during this period of critical negotiation. A parallel arrangement will be instituted in the White House. It is critically important that this Government should speak with one voice on this subject in the future.
- 6. Finally, I request that detailed recommendations and proposals should be worked out for my consideration by the end of this month so that there may be time for careful consideration and decision before my meeting with Prime Minister Wilson on December 7 and 8.

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON



November 14, 1964

SECRET

NATIONAL SECURITY ACTION MEMORANDUM NO. 318

MEMORANDUM FOR

THE SECRETARY OF STATE
THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Subject: The Future of the Nuclear Defense of the Atlantic Alliance

- 1. In the next months we face highly important negotiations and decisions on this subject. These decisions relate not only to the MLF proposals now under discussion in Paris, but to the interests and concerns of the new British Government. It is also obvious that we shall have to take careful account of the interests and purposes of France.
- 2. Our own interest is, as it has been, to find the most effective means of advancing the partnership of the Atlantic Community in nuclear defense as in other matters, and to do this without giving encouragement to the spread of nuclear weapons.
- 3. To carry out these purposes effectively, it is essential that this Government should be united, and accordingly it is my desire that all of the activities of this Government relating to the nuclear defense of the Atlantic Alliance should be fully coordinated among the White House, the State Department and the Defense Department.
- 4. More specifically, I desire that all officers of this Government who travel overseas to discuss this matter should have written instructions cleared in the White House, the State Department and the Defense Department. Such instructions, where appropriate, should also be used by other officers of this Government as guidance.

SECRET

DECLASSIFIED
Authority <u>NSC memo</u> 5/2-3/2

thority MSC memo 5/23/ MEE, NARS, Date 6/9/8

- 5. I also desire that the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense should make an explicit designation of those officers who are authorized to discuss these problems with the press, and that other officers should be instructed to refrain from such discussion during this period of critical negotiation. A parallel arrangement will be instituted in the White House. It is critically important that this Government should speak with one voice on this subject in the future.
- 6. Finally, I request that detailed recommendations and proposals should be worked out for my consideration by the end of this month so that there may be time for careful consideration and decision before my meeting with Prime Minister Wilson on December 7 and 8.

hydrefilm-

SECRET

Dispatched 11/16/64 (Rcpts nos. 657-658)

l xerox cy David Klein

THE WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON

Nov. 13, 1964

Mr. President:

This memorandum puts into effect the recommendations which you approved in my longer memo on this subject the other day.

McG. B.

middle

MEMORANDUM FOR

THE SECRETARY OF STATE THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Subject: The Future of the Multilateral Force

- 1. It is clear that in the next months we face highly important negotiations and decisions on this subject. These decisions relate MLF not only to the proposals now under discussion in Paris, but to the interests and concerns of the new British Government. It is also obvious that we shall have to take careful account of the interests and purposes of France. Our own interest is, as it has been, to find the most effective means of advancing the sense of common purpose and partnership within the Atlantic Community in its nuclear defense as in other matters, and to do this without giving encouragement to the spread of nuclear weapons.
- 3. To carry out these purposes effectively, it is essential that the actions of this Government should be fully coordinated. The fundamental responsibility for determining the policy in a matter of this importance must rest with me, and accordingly it is my desire that all of the activities of this Government relating to the nuclear defense of the among Atlantic Alliance should be fully coordinated between the White House, the State Department and the Defense Department.

- More specifically, I desire that all officers of this Government who travel overseas to discuss this matter should have written instructions cleared and approved in the White House, the State Department and the Defense Department. Such instructions, where appropriate, should also be used by other officers of this Government as appropriate guidance.
- 4. I also desire that the Secretary of State and the Secretary of

 Defense should make an explicit designation of those officers who are

 authorized to discuss these problems with the press, and that all other

 such
 officers should be explicitly instructed to refrain from/discussions

 during this period of critical negotiation. A parallel arrangement will be
 instituted in the White House. It is critically important that this

 Government should speak with one voice on this subject in the future.
- 5. Finally, I request that detailed recommendations and proposals should be worked out for my consideration by the end of this month so that there may be time for careful consideration and decision before my meeting with Prime Minister Wilson on December 7 and 8.