NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS SERVICE WITHDRAWAL SHEET (PRESIDENTIAL LIBRARIES)

ORM OF CORRESPONDENTS OR TITLE		DATE	RESTRICTION	
NSG-Paper	7 p. Confider	ntial epen 1-25-5/	n.d.	A A
apen 3-1-85	NLJ 85-07		7/25/00	
N. Carlotte		•		
	NSG-Paper	Rostow for the President NSG Paper 7 p. Confide.	Rostow for the President 1 p. Confidential Sept. 7-25-5/	Rostow for the President 1 p. Confidential 9/24/68 NSG-Paper 7 p. Confidential epen 7-25-6/ n.d.

RESTRICTION CODES

(A) Closed by Executive Order 11652 governing access to national security information.
(B) Closed by statute or by the agency which originated the document.
(C) Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in the donor's deed of gift.

Meeting Notes File, Box 3

GSA FORM 7122 (7-72)

11/81

DCH

FROM

JIM JONES

This are the briefing papers for the NSC meeting.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

CONFIDENTIAL

Tuesday, September 24, 1968, 5:00 P.M.

MEMORANDUM FOR THE PRESIDENT

SUBJECT: Suggested Order of Business for NSC Meeting, Wednesday, on Issues Coming Up in the UN General Assembly

The purpose of the meeting is to:

- a. review the current issues before the UN General Assembly and
- b. discuss our position on these questions.

(Attached is a State Department summary of the major issues: Czechoslovakia, the Middle East, Nigeria, Disarmament, Seabeds, Southern Africa and Chinese Representation)

- 1. Ask Secretary Rusk for any comments on the General Assembly meeting.
- 2. Ask Ambassador Ball to list the major problems and discuss how we plan to deal with them.
 - 3. Questions you may wish to ask:
 - a. Will Secretary General U Thant's proposed resolution for a bombing halt be pushed? Do we expect him to be active publicly on issues other than Vietnam?
 - b. Are there any steps we can take to make sure that the General Assembly Debate produces a powerful expression of world opinion on the Czech crisis?
 - c. Are the Presidential candidates being briefed on the UN?
- 4. Conclude the meeting by asking Secretary Rusk and Ambassador Ball to get to you promptly any specific recommendations which need to be acted on now.

DIMITASSILTED

Anthority NLJ 82-206

(Wall Rostow

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

NSC PAPER

THE 23RD UNITED NATIONS GENERAL ASSEMBLY

I. Introduction

The Soviet intervention in Czechoslovakia will be the dominant theme of the general debate at the 23rd General Assembly, which opens on September 24. The Soviets would like to sweep the matter under the rug, but will surely react to criticism coming from a wide spectrum of countries by trying to divert attention with issues such as Viet-Nam and to reduce any discussion of Czechoslovakia to simple cold war terms. We can expect strident polemics on contentious international problems.

Although the situation in the Middle East remains a major problem, efforts to move toward a settlement will take place on the margin of the Assembly in the form of negotiations by Ambassador Jarring with the Arab and Israeli Foreign Ministers in New York at the beginning of the session. There will be a full debate on disarmament issues, but we do not expect any major initiatives or significant achievements. Discussion of Viet-Nam should be somewhat moderated by a desire to avoid complicating the Paris talks on that subject. There will be a desire to extend UN humanitarian assistance to the peoples of Nigeria, despite African sensitivities over outside intervention in the Nigerian civil war.

This will not be a historic General Assembly. The time is clearly not right for major new proposals for international cooperation. In the fields of international economic and scientific development and in disarmament there will be no outstanding new initiatives, though there may be some serious, realistic stocktaking as well as much inconsequential comment. There is nevertheless some scope for constructive movement on lesser matters of world concern.

DECLASSIFIED

E.O. 12356, Sec. 3.4

NLJ 90-134 NARA. Date 1-3-91

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL - 2 -

II. Major Issues

A. Czechoslovakia

On this subject, we fully intend to use the forum of the General Debate to concentrate the weight of the members' opprobrium on the Soviets. Our basic problem will be to maintain the psychological pressure in ways that give the Soviets the least scope for defending themselves. The Soviets will of course attempt to deflect attention by counterattacks on other issues (German "revanchism", Viet-Nam, and the Middle East) and generally will try to place Czech events in a cold war context in hopes of silencing the non-aligned countries that have so far been willing to criticize Soviet intervention.

In determining what actions the Assembly might take concerning Czechoslovakia, there are at least three pertinent considerations for the U.S.: what would be helpful to the Czechs, what would keep world attention focused on the Soviet intervention, and what course of action would deter further Soviet adventures. On this basis, and bearing in mind the possibility of further developments inside Czechoslovakia before or during the session, we see four possible alternatives for General Assembly action on the Czech question:

- (1) First, a specific agenda item on Czechoslovakia and an Assembly resolution calling for immediate withdrawal of Soviet forces.
- (2) Second, a carefully phrased agenda item oriented to Europe which could serve to focus attention on the Czech question without specifically mentioning Czechoslovakia, while limiting Soviet ability to exploit non-European issues as a diversion.
- (3) Third, a more broadly phrased agenda item calling, for example, on all states everywhere to refrain from interference in the affairs of others. This would presumably gain the greatest support for inscription, but would also

CONFIDENTIAL

-CONFIDENTIAL

be most difficult to steer toward the adoption of a meaningful resolution. It would give the greatest scope for Soviet counterattacks and major debates on issues such as the Middle East and Viet-Nam.

(4) Fourth, avoiding a separate agenda item and instead concentrating our pressures on the Soviets in the general debate as well as in the discussion of every item relevant to the Soviets' misconduct—for example, those dealing with freedom of information, human rights, non-intervention, anti-colonialism, and friendly relations between states.

We have made soundings among delegations in New York and elsewhere to gauge the degree of interest in effective handling of the Czech question. Ambassador Ball and Assistant Secretary Sisco discussed this matter in the North Atlantic Council where they found great caution regarding the inscription of an item. The Latin Americans have similar reservations, as do Yugoslavia and Romania. The prospects thus are not good for a special agenda item centered on Czechoslovakia, unless the situation there deteriorates sharply.

B. The Middle East

The Arab-Israeli dispute in all its facets will be aired with customary polemics during the debate on the annual report of UNRWA (the Palestine refugee assistance organization). UNRWA's mandate expires in June 1969, and decisions regarding the future of the refugee relief organization will therefore have to be taken. In the end, we expect that UNRWA's mandate will be continued without substantial change for a period of three to five years. UNRWA is doing an effective job of caring for the refugees, and its dissolution, in the absence of a peaceful settlement, would only complicate present efforts to achieve peace and would add to instability in the area.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL - 4 -

The real center of action, however, will be offstage, where we hope the gathering of Foreign Ministers will provide the occasion for serious substantive discussions between the Arabs and the Israelis through Ambassador Jarring, the Secretary-General's special representative. We continue to believe that a practicable Middle East settlement in the end must be based on the principles contained in the President's address of June 19, 1967 and the Security Council resolution of November 22. In short, the parties must be persuaded to engage in good-faith exchanges of views looking toward withdrawal of Israeli forces in the context of a real peace recognizing Israel's right to live, innocent maritime passage for its vessels in Suez and Tiran, and justice for the refugees. Given the increasing terrorism and violence in the area, there can be no certainty that Jarring will make any progress. If he fails to do so, the matter will again revert to the Security Council where the U.S. will face a very difficult situation.

C. Nigeria

The humanitarian aspects of the Nigerian situation will be aired in the General Debate and under human rights items. However, a specific agenda item on this matter is likely to face African opposition, since the Africans fear that any consideration would inevitably infringe upon the political aspects of the problem. The recent summit meeting of the Organization of African Unity (OAU) clearly opposed UN involvement on the political aspects. Because of the sensitive political issues of secession and civil war, the African states continue to want to deal with the issue as an African matter within the OAU. A specific agenda item limited to the humanitarian aspects is doubtful.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

D. Disarmament

We do not expect significant fresh initiatives on disarmament in the Assembly, which after a full debate will probably refer most resolutions to the Geneva Eighteen-Nation Disarmament Committee (ENDC) for more specific discussion. The Non-Nuclear Conference (NNC) at Geneva has -- as expected -considered a number of harmful proposals bearing very directly upon Western security and use of our nuclear deterrent for individual and collective defensive purposes. The Conference has also generated unhelpful demands for creation of new international bodies to administer peaceful uses of nuclear energy. We believe it would not be possible to create new bodies as effective or as well organized as the existing structure of the International Atomic Energy Agency. The Conference is also flirting with unrealistic proposals for peaceful nuclear (Plowshare) explosives; ironclad guarantees for assurances against nuclear attack or threats; and sweeping commitments for nuclear disarmament.

Even though the NNC lacks focus and expertise, many of its members seem nevertheless determined to perpetuate the conference by having it meet every year or two. We strongly oppose continuation of the conference after it concludes this month, convinced that it is far better to have arms control discussions carried on in the General Assembly, and in the ENDC, where both nuclear and non-nuclear powers are well represented by relatively expert personnel.

In addition to dealing with the results of the NNC, the General Assembly will have before it the report of the ENDC as well as a last-minute Soviet disarmament item quoting in full an earlier Soviet memorandum loaded with propaganda. Unfortunately, the overshadowing influence of the Czech crisis will hamper Soviet-American cooperation of the type which produced the Non-Proliferation Treaty and which could lead to negotiations to limit strategic nuclear delivery systems.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL -6-

E. Seabeds

For the second time, the Assembly will be considering ways in which, through international cooperation, the deep ocean floor can be exploited for peaceful purposes and in the common interest. Last month, the UN Ad Hoc Committee on the Seabeds appointed last year concluded its work with a report outlining the problems in this area and highlighting the deep differences of opinion which must be overcome if deep seabed development is to take place in an orderly and beneficial way. Our long term objectives are support for the U.S.-sponsored International Decade of Ocean Exploration; an acceptable statement of legal principles to govern the exploration and use of the deep seabed; and a sound and verifiable agreement to ban nuclear weapons from the deep seabed. We will attempt to persuade General Assembly members that proceeding through consensus rather than numerical majorities is the only alternative to a basic split between underdeveloped and advanced countries which would frustrate the whole exercise. This will mean that very little progress will be made this year; the work will be carried on in a continuing Assembly committee.

F. Southern Africa

The situation in southern Africa will continue to occupy much of the Assembly's time and to demand difficult decisions. On Southern Rhodesia and South West Africa we have reached the point where there is little room to maneuver. Measures designed to persuade the white minority regimes of the need for change have not succeeded and in fact have been undercut by Rhodesian and South African moves which make a peaceful solution of these problems all but impossible in the foreseeable future.

The Africans can be expected to press to have the General Assembly call for adoption by the Security Council of punitive economic measures against South Africa and Portugal for violation of Security Council resolutions imposing sanctions against Southern Rhodesia. Similarly, the

-CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL - 7 -

Africans will want the Assembly to call upon the Security Council to use economic and military sanctions to force South Africa to hand over administration of South West Africa to the United Nations.

We are prepared to support the implementation of measures already in effect while discouraging impractical proposals. We cannot condone apartheid, but we cannot agree to extending sanctions. We will continue to urge South Africa to explore with us and with the United Nations the means to move the South West African and Southern Rhodesian questions onto more productive ground.

G. Chinese Representation

The continued disarray on the Chinese mainland, Peking's role in Viet-Nam, the divisions among the Communist countries, and the absence of any marked improvement in Communist China's relations abroad have contributed to a lack of enthusiasm among influential members for Peking's admission. All signs point toward a repeat performance in the General Assembly's handling of this issue this year. The usual agenda item has been introduced calling for the expulsion of the Republic of China and the seating of Chinese Communists. We expect that a resolution to this effect will again be defeated, after the Assembly has reaffirmed that this issue is an important question requiring a two-thirds vote. We see no indication that the Assembly will favor the resolution setting up a study committee on this question, which has been rejected by the last two Assemblies.

CONFIDENTIAL

LIST OF INVITEES, NSC MEETING

September 25, 1968, Wednesday, 12:00 noon

Secretary of State Rusk Ambassador Ball Assistant Secretary Sisco

Secretary of Defense Clifford Deputy Secretary Nitze

Secretary of Treasury Fowler

CIA Director Helms

JCS Chairman Wheeler

USIA Director Marks

Walt Rostow George Christian Tom Johnson Nat Davis Bromley Smith



epartment of State

FIT AMEMBASSY PARIS TO SECSTATE WASHDC FLASH 6395 STATE GRNC

RET PARIS 21344

N O D I S/ARVAN/LUS

DELTO 767

FROM HARRIMAN AND VANCE

1. THO, THUY AND AU WERE PRESENT AT THE TEA BREAK. THE TEA BREAK LASTED AN HOUR AND 5 MINUTES AND WAS DEVOTED ENTIRELY

- 2. WE OPENED BY SAYING THAT IN OUR LAST PRIVATE TALK WE SPENT A GREAT DEAL OF TIME DISCUSSING THE PARTIES WHO WILL BE INCLUDED IN THE SERIOUS NEGOTIATIONS WHICH WOULD FOLLOW A CESSATION OF . BOMBING. THIS SUBJECT HAS BECOME A MAJOR ROADBLOCK TO PROGRESS.
- 3. WE SAID WE COULD STATE TODAY THAT AN UNDERSTANDING ON THIS SUBJECT WOULD BE A MAJOR FACTOR IN FACILITATING A DECISION TO STOP THE BOMBING. WE SAID THAT THEY WOULD NOTE THAT WE HAD USED THE WORD "LOULD" RATHER THAN COULD." WE SAID THAT WE HAD TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION THEIR COMMENTS AT OUR LAST MEETING ON OUR USE OF THE WORD WOULD" AS NOTING UNCERTAINTY. WE SAID THAT WE HAD CONSULTED WITH WASHINGTON AND COULD NOW TELL THEM THAT AN UNDERSTANDING ON THE SUBJECT WOULD BE A MAJOR FACTOR IN FACILITATING A DECISION TO STOP THE BOMBING. WE SAID WE TRUSTED THAT WHAT WE HAD SAID TODAY WOULD CLEAR THE ROADBLOCK.
- 4. THO REPLIED THAT WE HAD DIFFERENT CONCEPTIONS OF WHAT THE WORD SERIOUS" MEANT. HE SAID THEY CONSIDERED TALKS TO BE SERIOUS WHEN THE UNITED STATES CEASED ALL BOMBING AND OTHER ACTS OF WAR AGAINST THE DRV. THO SAID THAT WE HAD A DIFFERENT DEFINITION. HE SAID THAT WE WANTED TO FORCE AGREEMENT ON THE INCLUSION OF THE REPRESENTATIVES OF THE GVN BEFORE THE CESSATION OF BOMBING AND THEY BELIEVED THIS POSITION TO BE A DEMAND FOR RECIPROCITY. THO SAID THAT AFTER THE CESSATION-OF BOMBING DISCUSSION OF WHO WILL PARTICIPATE IN SUBSEQUENT TALKS COULD BE IMMEDIATELY DISCUSSED BETWEEN US. THIS, THO SAID, WAS A POSITIVE PROPOSAL ON THE PART OF THE DRV.
- WE ARGUED THE POINT AT GREAT LENGTH. WE SAID THAT THE NECESSITY OF REACHING AN UNDERSTANDING ON THE INCLUSION OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE GVN WAS NOT RECIPROCITY AND IT DID NOT BECOME RECIPROCITY JUST BECAUSE THE DRV SAID IT WAS. WE SAID WE HAD MADE IT QUITE CLEAR THAT WE WERE NOT WILLING TO STOP ALL THE

-2 - PARIS 21344, SEPTEMBER 25

BOMBING AND HAVE ANOTHER DELAY SUCH AS WE HAVE EXPERIENCED BEFORE WE GOT DOWN TO SERIOUS TALKS. WE SAID THAT IF THE BOMBING WERE TO STOP AND WE CAME IN WITH THE GVN REPRESENTATIVES AND THE DRV REFUSED TO JOIN IN THE TALKS, IT WOULD BE SIMPLY A FARCE.

- G. THO SAID HE WASN'T TRYING TO FORCE US TO ACCEPT HIS DEFINITION OF RECIPROCITY. HE SAID HE WOULD NOT DISCUSS THE MATTER OF GVN PARTICIPATION UNTIL AFTER THE CESSATION OF BOMBING AND THEN THEY WOULD LET US KNOW THEIR VIEWS.
- 7. WE REPLIED THAT WE WERE GETTING A CLEARER AND CLEARER IMPRESSION THAT THEY WERE NOT PREPARED TO LET THE REPRESENTATIVES OF THE
 GVN PARTICIPATE IN THE DETERMINATION OF THE POLITICAL FUTURE OF
 SVN. WE SAID THAT IF THEY WERE NOT READY TO ACCEPT THE FACT
 THAT WE WOULD BE ACCOMPANIED BY THE GVN REPRESENTATIVES, THEN
 WE COULD ONLY CONCLUDE THAT THEY WERE NOT INTERESTED IN GETTING
 ON WITH SERIOUS TALKS.
- 8. WE SAID WE HAD CONSULTED WITH OUR GOVERNEMENT AND REMINDED THEM THAT WE HAD ASKED THEM TOCONSULT WITH THEIRS. WE SAID THAT WE WANTED THEN TO UNDERSTAND THAT WE WILL HAVE THE GVN REPRESENTATIVES PRESENT WITH US AND IF THEY DID NOT OBJECT, THEN WE COULD MAKE PROGRESS.

 IF THEY OBJECTED, THEN THERE WOULD BE NO SERIOUS TALKS.
- 9. THY REPLIED THAT THEY HAD REPORTED TO THEIR GOVERNMENT AND THAT THEIR GOVERNMENT HAD REITERATED THAT THERE MUST FIRST BE AN UNCONDITIONAL CESSATION OF BOMBING AND THEN THER QUESTIONS OF INTEREST COULD BE DISCUSSED.
 - AND THEN HAVING ANOTHER LENGTHY WRANGLE. WE SAID WE HOPED THEY WOULD CONSULT THEIR GOVERNMENT AGAIN. THO REPLIED THAT THEY HAD ALREADY CONSULTED THEIR GOVERNMENT, AND THEN ADDED, THAT HE HAD NOT YET EXPRESSED HIMSELF ON WHETHER OR NOT THEY AGREED ON THE INCLUSION OF THE GVN. HE SAID THIS WOULD BE DISCUSSED AFTER THE CESSATION OF BOMBING.
- WE SAID THAT WE WERE DISSATISFIED WITH THEIR RESPONSE.

 WE SAID THAT IT WAS FUNDAMENTAL WITH US THAT NEITHER WE NOR THEY ARE GOING TO IMPOSE A POLITICAL SOLUTION ON SOUTH VIET-NAM AND THEY MUST REALIZE THAT FACT. THEREFORE, GVN MUST BE INCLUDED.

 WE SAID WE HAD NOTHING MORE TO SAY AND THAT WE WOULD BE PREPARED TO WEET WITH THEM ON FRIDAY OR ANY OTHER TIME DURING THE WEEKEND IF THEY HAD SOMETHING TO SAY TO US, BUT THERE DID NOT SEEM ANY POINT IN MEETING ON FRIDAY AS THINGS NOW STOOD. THUY REPLIED THAT THEY WERE READY TO MEET IF WE HAD SOMETHING TO SAY ON TRIDAY, OR ANY LATER DAY IF EITHER SIDE WISHED. IF NOT WE WOULD MEET NEXT WEDNESDAY.

 HARHIMAN.