

Ed - Sen. Johnson wants this  
filed where it won't get lost  
because he is proud of it.  
Don't transfer it to the attic.

mary

# United States Senate

---

## MEMORANDUM

9:50 AM Feb. 14, 1951

General Marshall called for you, and although I told him I could locate you in a few minutes, he asked me to tell you the following:

"Tell him I just called up to say that I had seen his report and I want him to know that I think he has really done a magnificent job on the manpower bill.

"Are you taking this down? (Answer: Yes, sir, word for word.)

"Tell him I realize all the practical difficulties and admire greatly the way he has handled it, and I said as much to the President on Monday night."

(Thank you very much, General Marshall, I know that Senator Johnson will deeply appreciate this message and I will tell him in just a little while.)

mary

Mr Johnson

This is the  
rest of it

7  
Where  
can he find

February 13, 1951

Telephone call from Donald Dawson to Lyndon Johnson

Dawson - Lyndon, I just wanted to talk to you about this attack that Fullbright is making on me. You always asked me if I ever needed any help to come to you. What this fellow is after I have not the slightest idea. All I have ever done is to try to help you people on the Hill.

Johnson - What do you think ought to be done?

Dawson - Damn if I know. He issued this report. There is nothing in it. He talks about my wife. He implies a lot of things. It strikes me that he ought not to be making any extraneous statements to my detriment.

Johnson - Assuming everything you say is correct, where do you go from there.

Dawson - Lyndon, you folks have the control of the Senate.

Johnson - I wish that was true.

Dawson - I think you do. I have the greatest confidence in you. All I have done all my life is try to help people on the Hill.

Johnson - They have a committee. I think you should either ~~sit~~ fight it out within the committee or pay no attention to the committee and fight it out publicly. All you need is to have a very few Democrats feel the same way most of the Republicans feel it is their duty to feel. .... We have a policy in our committee (I am trying to get hold of the Air Force now) -- we don't

--- we don't make allegations until we have confronted the individuals involved with their accusers. If we are the accusers we call them in and say this is what the staff recommends. If there is anything you want to say about it, or any questions or facts you want to argue, or any objections you want to raise, then let us know. Most of the committees don't do that.

Dawson - You are fair.

Johnson - I try to be. I think oone of two things: Fullbright is going to do whatever he wants to do that is within reason because I gather from reading the papers (I haven't discussed it with any of them), but it is my guess that if they take the position that this is it, this is what they are going to say -- you have two choices (1)fighting it out in the committee or (2) fighting it out publicly.

Dawson - He said last night he would blast me some more.

Nothing

Johnson -/Anybody cando about it. If he has a six year term, he can blast. Morse is blasting me on the draft bill now. Between being blasted by the Department of Defense and a member of my committee is pretty bad. Nobody can control his blast. If the Democrats on his committee agree with him that will be your problem.

Dawson - Lyndon, I am not worried about anything I have done. It is just a smear technique they are using. This is different. They have attacked my wife.

Johnson - I would put my fight before the committee or I would put it before the public in ~~xxx~~ my own press conference or outside the committee. I don;t think the committee will change its position. I don't think anybody can get Douglas or Fullbright to change and they are the only two I know to change anything they have said. As far as they are concerned, you start with an impossible situation in the committee. I think you should have your own press conference. Say here are the facts. Start a fire in your own backyard.

Dawson - Do you think I can get anywhere sighting a Senate Committee?

Johnson - I think that depends. I have seen some of them based on their reports fail to stand up. I have seen some of them change.

Dawson - Fartner, I would sure appreciate some help up there. I think you folks could work it out. You have an organization.

Johnson - I wish I knew what should be done and how it should be done. I know in my committee if any of the members feel they don't agree with this or that, the humblest, newest Republican has a right to call anybody he wants to, and put it in the record, but I don't know what procedure they have. In my committee it has to be in the appendix and confirmed by reputable people. ~~Now~~ Who is ~~helping~~ on the committee?

Dawson - Freer, Capehart, Tobey and Maybank.

Johnson - I will talk to McFarland and see if he doesn't want to talk to Maybank and get his suggestions on it. I think I understand it, but I think when you've got 49-47 majority all you have to do is have two.

Dawson - Well, Lyndon I am being very frank. I need some help.

Johnson - What do you think should be done.

Dawson - I don't know. It is a Senate problem. I can't do anything without people like you, my friends. I would say that you, McFarland, the Vice President sit down with them and discuss it, and ask for ~~Johnson's~~ common descency.

Johnson - That puts us in the position of saying you are not showing fair play when they have sat in committee for a month and know all the answers.

Dawson - ~~Now when~~ Not when --

Johnson - I will talk to Mack about it when we meet today at 1 PM

#####

3:40 PM February 15, 1951

President Truman calling Senator Johnson

The President - Hello.

Johnson - Yes, Mr. President.

The President - How did you do it?

Johnson - I don't know. I don't know whether I have done it yet. I am just over one hurdle.

The President - The way that came out with a 13 to 0 vote, it ought to sail through. I talked to Dick Russell and he thought you had done a whale of a job, and so do I.

Johnson - ..... and that woman did the greatest job that anybody has ever done in this town. When you can take the competitors like Agriculture, Army, Air, Selective Service, Navy, Labor, all that are fighting for a small part, and they all get together, then anybody who is reasonable ought to accept it. We spent a month and five days, and went up a lot of trails, but we always came back to the same thing.

The President - You did a good job. It will be a continuing one if you can get it through.

Johnson - Every President since George Washington has been trying to do that.

The President - I think you will.

Johnson - I hope Wayne can talk to you. He is a good man. He is against taking 18 year olds and against a term of service longer than 21 months. Maybe you can talk to him.

The President - I will talk to him a little later on.

Johnson - Thank you, Mr. President. I appreciate your calling me.

mary

~~Under Office~~  
Record of Johnson's extra-personal calls



February 15, 1951

Under Secretary John McCone of the Air Force in conversation in Senator Johnson's office.

Johnson - Mr. Secretary, I got a letter here. I am writing you one for the files. I don't know Darneille and Geil of Brown Engineering. Mr. Brown is chairman of the Board of Rice Institute at Houston, Texas, and a respected citizen and a friend of mine. He told me some of his people -- he wanted to know who to talk to here. He suggested he should see Vandenberg and asked my judgment. I told him I did not know who would handle that and give it proper evaluation, but I thought the Under Secretary would head it up and refer him to the right people. I want to make it clear that I only want it considered on its merits. I can't pass on the merits. I can't be picking Stinger airplanes for the Air Force or submarines for the Navy. I just don't want the files to reflect that I am trying to influence the decision. If any of my staff call or write a letter, please don't consider it as anything more than a request for information or for consideration on its merits.

McCone - I know George Brown; at least I knew him slightly. I know who he is. I realized why you sent it over. I was sure that was the way you felt.

Johnson - I put out a mimeographed statement when I came here about what to write in letters. I write the constituents back "I have no authority (quoting from the mimeographed instructions issued to the office personnel for use in answering letters)." I have a form letter that protects me there and generally speaking on a letter or tag slip sent the Department you will see this: "This is solely to secure information."

mary

*Inter Office Memo.*



March 10, 1951 - 12:10 PM

President Truman calling from Key West, Florida.

Truman - Hello

Johnson - Yes, Mr. President.

Truman - Lyndon, you did a whale of a job.

Johnson - You are mighty sweet to say that.

Truman - I have been reading the accounts. That last fight was a hum-dinger. You will have a whale of a fight with the House Committee. Our friend over there will try to do all he can to transfer the credit of a good job from the Senate to the House. You will have to be very careful and diplomatic in handling it. This bill is much better than anything we anticipated.

Johnson - You are mighty nice. Dick Russell and Mrs. Rosenberg deserve more credit than I do.

Truman - No, you held the hearings and you did the work, but I will call Dick and tell him what I think of it too.

Johnson - You would have been amused at Taft yesterday saying he was for UMT.

Truman - Lyndon, the Congress passed a Naval bill the other day completely tying the hands of the President. I may have to send it back; if I do I will tell you about it.

Johnson - All right. McFarland and I talked to McCormack last night. Everytime I got a critical vote set, they would give out an interview over in the House .....

Truman - I will talk to them about that. I always talk to the Big Four on Monday. I will talk to them at 11 o'clock Monday morning from here. What do you want me to tell them?

Johnson - Tell them it is the first time since George Washington that a President has had a long range draft bill. This Dewey Short is an isolationist.

Truman - Dewey Short is no good. I know him.

Johnson - ?

Truman - That was too bad about Virgil Chapman.

Johnson - It just broke our hearts. We are going to the funeral here this afternoon and then to Kentucky tonight.

Truman - I am glad you are going.

Johnson - I am so glad you made him happy at Sam's the other night. He said afterward that he had now met somebody that new ~~as~~ as much about the Civil War as he did.

Truman - (Laughed). Well, call me down here if you need me.

United States Senate

*File  
re response  
file*

MEMORANDUM

March 8, 1951

Secy. Lovett called again at 11:30 and I explained that you had no answered his earlier call because you had been at Bethesda Naval Hospital all morning. He said as follows:

"I don't want to bother Senator Johnson. I called merely to tell him what a wonderful job I think he has been doing on this thing and how much we appreciate it. It must be a rough ride. We are very, very pleased and I thought he should know it."

mary

March 30, 1951

Telephone Conversation between Sen. Johnson and Robert S. Allen

Johnson - I just got back to the office and I got a stack of mail here from Amarillo, Texas, quoting a report and a lot of clippings by one friend of mind named Robert S. Allen. I thought it was indicated that I talk to you and give you the facts and answer any questions you want to ask.

Allen - Anytime you have anything to tell me I have the time to listen.

Johnson - I was told that Drew Pearson made mention of substantially the same thing last Sunday. The story says (reading Allen's story) Now, Bob, ~~I~~ no such talk ever took place. I never took such a position. I never thought of taking such a position. I have been guided by what ~~Kefauver~~ Kefauver thought ought to be done. I vote his proxy in my committee. No word was ever mentioned in the Policy Committee. When I saw the clipping I called the Clerk and when I was present or absent no such discussion took place at a Policy Committee meeting.

Allen - Was there ever such a meeting?

Johnson - No. No such meeting. It never took place. It was such a surprise to me. My position is to Hell with the Democratic Party, Kefauver ought to do whatever ought to be done. I have complete confidence in him. Senator Russell, Green, Kefauver, Tobey -- they have never mentioned it to me. (Reading letter received from Amarillo.) After I read this letter this morning I called Marshall McNeil. He said he had heard that story and he called Lister Hill. Hill said he had never heard the story. ~~xxxx~~ My point is this: I don't want any correction, ~~bugx~~ but as I answered a letter a while ago I said I know Bob Allen. Whatever he wrote he thought was right. But I supported Kefauver's committee. I asked for him on my committee. My committee has put out nine reports. He gave me his proxy on all of them.

*Supported me on*

Allen - Well, Lyndon, I take your word without question. I don't know how to begin to apologize. But I will go into this thing. Anybody who writes you, you can say Robert S. Allen - Bob Allen - not only reaffirmed his complete confidence in Lyndon Johnson, he said he will rectify it. I appreciate it as a personal favor and genuine manifestation of your friendship for me that you called me. If you want me to write to all of those people who wrote you, I will write them. Tell them that I said obviously a greivous error had been made and I was deeply distressed and I would rectify it.

####

You would be surprised at the  
number that will vote Republican this  
People are pretty sick of conditions.

THE WACO TIMES-HERALD

Tuesday, March 27, 1951

E. M. FENTRESS, President  
S. D. JONES FRANK BALDWIN  
General Manager Editor  
PAT TAGGART HARRY PROVENCE  
Bus. Adv. Director Managing Editor  
SAM WOOD, Assistant Editor

Published by Newspapers, Inc., 900  
Franklin Avenue, Waco, Texas, daily  
except Sunday, New Year's, Fourth of  
July, Labor Day, Thanksgiving and  
Christmas Day. Sunday and holiday  
issues The Waco Tribune-Herald. En-  
tered as second-class matter at the  
Waco Post Office under the Act of  
Congress March 3, 1879.

Subscription Rates: By carrier in the  
City of Waco, \$1.00 per month, daily  
only, \$1.40 per month, daily, Sunday  
and holiday issues. By mail in Texas:  
Daily, \$10.00 per year, \$5.00 for six  
months, \$3.30 for three months, and  
85c for one month. Daily Sunday and  
holiday issues by mail in Texas, \$15.00  
per year, \$7.50 for six months, \$3.75  
for three months and \$1.25 per month  
Outside of Texas, \$1.50 per month.

Member Associated Press—The Asso-  
ciated Press is entitled exclusively to  
the use for reproduction of all the  
local news printed in this newspaper  
as well as all AP dispatches.

## Robert S. Allen Reports

WASHINGTON—If a majority  
of the Senate Democratic Policy  
Committee have their way, the  
Kefauver crime probe will be shut  
down at the end of this week.

Included in this group are Sen-  
ators Ernest McFarland, Arizona,  
party floor leader, and Lyndon  
Johnson, Texas, party whip and  
chairman of the Mobilization In-  
vestigating Subcommittee whose  
hard-hitting reports have made  
headline news.

Present line-up in the policy  
committee on the explosive issue  
is as follows:

For ending the crime probe—  
McFarland, Johnson, Richard,  
Russell, Georgia, leader of the  
powerful Southern bloc; Robert  
Kerr, Oklahoma, millionaire oil-  
man.

For continuing — Brien Mc-  
Mahon, Connecticut, head of the  
Atomic Energy Committee;  
Theodore Green, Rhode Island;  
Joe O'Mahoney, Wyoming, head  
of the Joint Committee on the  
Economic Report.

Undecided—Lister Hill of Ala-  
bama.

♦ ♦ ♦  
The Democratic leaders have had  
one stormy session on the issue.

It was brought up by Senator  
Johnson. He bluntly declared the  
investigation should be allowed to  
expire March 31 "before it wrecks  
the whole Democratic Party." He  
argued that regardless of what was  
uncovered, the party would be  
blamed for it.

Johnson was strongly backed by  
Russell and Kerr, who also stressed  
the damaging effect of the investi-  
gation on the Democratic Party.  
While aligning himself with them,  
Floor Leader McFarland suggested  
the matter be discussed with Pres-  
ident Truman and Kefauver before  
taking any action on it.

Last year, McFarland was chair-  
man of an Interstate Commerce  
subcommittee that held hearings  
on a bill to curb the transmission  
of racing reports. Among the wit-  
nesses was Frank Costello, who  
airily denied being the gambling  
czar the Kefauver committee has  
since shown him to be. The anti-  
racing bill got nowhere. It was  
reported out by the committee,  
but was never acted on by the  
senate.

♦ ♦ ♦  
Kefauver's investigators have in-  
formation that attorneys for sev-  
eral big gamblers discussed the  
legislation with members of Mc-  
Farland's Committee.

Senator McMahon took the lead  
in countering the policy committee  
demand to kill the crime probe.

McMahon warned that the Re-  
publicans would make heavy politi-  
cal capital out of such a move.  
He strongly counseled the commit-  
tee take no stand that was not  
acceptable to Kefauver. Senator  
Green echoed this advice.

In the end, no action was taken  
at this meeting. Also, all refer-  
ences to the discussion were elimi-  
nated from the minutes.

Note: Intimates of Kefauver are  
saying he has changed his mind  
about winding up the investigation.  
Instead, he now plans to ask for a  
new lease of life and additional  
funds.

REC'D MAR 30 1951

### Kefauver Committee

Unless the Senate takes action,  
the Kefauver Crime Investigating  
Committee will be out of busi-  
ness April 1. It deserves to stay  
alive.

The most qualified observers,  
and that includes judges and other  
legal experts, seem convinced that  
the committee has conducted a  
searching, productive and fair-  
minded inquiry. Considering the  
automatically sensational nature  
of much crime information, this in-  
vestigation has been surprisingly  
free of that taint.

But the story has taken longer  
to unfold than any Senator may  
have guessed when the committee  
was created last May. The investi-  
gators are still striking it rich,  
as the probe into New York crime  
amply shows. They need more time  
to round out the picture.

Frank Costello, New York gam-  
bler charged by the committee  
with heading a national crime syn-  
dicate, undoubtedly was hoping for  
the committee's dissolution March  
31 when he stalled off talking be-  
fore it in his recent appearances.  
It would be a pity if he were al-  
lowed to gain the advantage he  
sought. He is a key figure and  
the committee should have his full  
testimony.

Furthermore, the committee  
should be kept in existence long  
enough to permit it to draft care-  
ful recommendations for laws  
aimed at clearing up crime condi-  
tions. No one imagines Congress  
could legislate crime out of the  
picture, but it might make many  
criminal practices vastly more dif-  
ficult than they are today.

Even without any new laws, the  
Kefauver committee has brought  
great discomfort to the criminal  
world. Publicity, especially as de-  
fined in the era of television, is  
poison to underworld characters.  
They've shown that by shying  
away from TV cameras whenever  
they could.

It would be hard to measure the  
effect this inquiry and its at-  
tendant publicity have had on na-  
tional crime operations. Unques-  
tionably the impact has been sub-  
stantial, though the evidence of  
that has not generally been spec-  
tacular. Chicago furnished one  
of the best examples when an  
aroused citizenry went to the polls  
last Fall and slapped down candi-  
dates believed to have benefitted  
from a crime-politics alliance.

Certainly the committee has  
earned the right to continued life.  
Its extension will be fought, most-  
ly by politicians who fear the com-  
mittee's earnest determination to  
expose the crime-politics liaison  
wherever it is found. But such op-  
position ought to be brushed aside.

When the committee has done  
its full job, thought should be  
given to the idea of a permanent  
national crime commission to keep  
the spotlight trained relentlessly  
on U. S. underworld leaders. On a  
more limited basis, commissions of

Chicago Day, Sunday and holiday issues The Waco Tribune-Herald. Entered as second-class matter at the Waco Post Office under the Act of Congress March 3, 1879.

Subscription Rates: By carrier in the City of Waco, \$1.00 per month, daily only, \$1.40 per month, daily, Sunday and holiday issues. By mail in Texas: Daily, \$10.00 per year, \$5.00 for six months, \$3.50 for three months, and 66c for one month. Daily Sunday and holiday issues by mail in Texas, \$15.00 per year, \$7.50 for six months, \$3.75 for three months and \$1.25 per month. Outside of Texas, \$1.50 per month.

Member Associated Press—The Associated Press is entitled exclusively to the use for reproduction of all the local news printed in this newspaper as well as all AP dispatches.

## Needs to be rechecked Kefauver Committee

Unless the Senate takes action, the Kefauver Crime Investigating Committee will be out of business April 1. It deserves to stay alive.

The most qualified observers, and that includes judges and other legal experts, seem convinced that the committee has conducted a searching, productive and fair-minded inquiry. Considering the automatically sensational nature of much crime information, this investigation has been surprisingly free of that taint.

But the story has taken longer to unfold than any Senator may have guessed when the committee was created last May. The investigators are still striking it rich, as the probe into New York crime amply shows. They need more time to round out the picture.

Frank Costello, New York gambler charged by the committee with heading a national crime syndicate, undoubtedly was hoping for the committee's dissolution March 31 when he stalled off talking before it in his recent appearances. It would be a pity if he were allowed to gain the advantage he sought. He is a key figure and the committee should have his full testimony.

Furthermore, the committee should be kept in existence long enough to permit it to draft careful recommendations for laws aimed at clearing up crime conditions. No one imagines Congress could legislate crime out of the picture, but it might make many criminal practices vastly more difficult than they are today.

Even without any new laws, the Kefauver committee has brought great discomfort to the criminal world. Publicity, especially as defined in the era of television, is poison to underworld characters. They've shown that by shying away from TV cameras whenever they could.

It would be hard to measure the effect this inquiry and its attendant publicity have had on national crime operations. Unquestionably the impact has been substantial, though the evidence of that has not generally been spectacular. Chicago furnished one of the best examples when an aroused citizenry went to the polls last Fall and slapped down candidates believed to have benefitted from a crime-politics alliance.

Certainly the committee has earned the right to continued life. Its extension will be fought, mostly by politicians who fear the committee's earnest determination to expose the crime-politics liaison wherever it is found. But such opposition ought to be brushed aside.

When the committee has done its full job, thought should be given to the idea of a permanent national crime commission to keep the spotlight trained relentlessly on U. S. underworld leaders. On a more limited basis, commissions of this sort have been effective in Chicago and California.

A national commission need in no way lessen the power of Congress to investigate crime any time it sees fit. And it would stand as a concrete symbol of the sustained alertness of the nation's conscience.

chairman of the mob-mafia investigating Subcommittee whose hard-hitting reports have made headline news.

Present line-up in the policy committee on the explosive issue is as follows:

For ending the crime probe—McFarland, Johnson, Richard, Russell, Georgia, leader of the powerful Southern bloc; Robert Kerr, Oklahoma, millionaire oilman.

For continuing — Brien McMahon, Connecticut, head of the Atomic Energy Committee; Theodore Green, Rhode Island; Joe O'Mahoney, Wyoming, head of the Joint Committee on the Economic Report.

Undecided—Lister Hill of Alabama.

The Democratic leaders have had one stormy session on the issue.

It was brought up by Senator Johnson. He bluntly declared the investigation should be allowed to expire March 31 "before it wrecks the whole Democratic Party." He argued that regardless of what was uncovered, the party would be blamed for it.

Johnson was strongly backed by Russell and Kerr, who also stressed the damaging effect of the investigation on the Democratic Party. While aligning himself with them, Floor Leader McFarland suggested the matter be discussed with President Truman and Kefauver before taking any action on it.

Last year, McFarland was chairman of an Interstate Commerce subcommittee that held hearings on a bill to curb the transmission of racing reports. Among the witnesses was Frank Costello, who airily denied being the gambling czar the Kefauver committee has since shown him to be. The anti-racing bill got nowhere. It was reported out by the committee, but was never acted on by the senate.

Kefauver's investigators have information that attorneys for several big gamblers discussed the legislation with members of McFarland's committee.

Senator McMahon took the lead in countering the policy committee demand to kill the crime probe.

McMahon warned that the Republicans would make heavy political capital out of such a move. He strongly counseled the committee take no stand that was not acceptable to Kefauver. Senator Green echoed this advice.

In the end, no action was taken at this meeting. Also, all references to the discussion were eliminated from the minutes.

Note: Intimates of Kefauver are saying he has changed his mind about winding up the investigation. Instead, he now plans to ask for a new lease of life and additional funds.



7  
WJ

REC'D MAR 30 1951



Senator Lyndon Johnson  
U.S. Senate  
Washington D.C.

# COPY

October 20, 1951  
About 12:30 PM

## Telephone Conversation between Secretary Whitehair and Sen. Johnson

Johnson - Here is the discussion about it (reading from the report)  
Doesn't that in your judgment ...

Whitehair - I think that is magnificent

Johnson - I think too that fairness dictates that. I am not bluffed or  
scared. Now, let me get to the golf courses ....

Whitehair - Incidentally if I can digress a moment, I got my orders to  
report for a physical examination.

Johnson - Good God, I guess mine will come tomorrow. Now then (reading  
about golf courses)

Whitehair - I fully agree with that. I think they should get rid of the  
riding horses.

Johnson - You can take one mink coat and lose sight of a billion dollars  
in expenditures.

Whitehair - I will tell you why I think it is right -- it is so big there  
you would think you were on the Sahara Desert. How can anyone get  
around to riding them? ~~Yxxxx~~ Who would get to ride 40 horses?  
They will be out of there. Don't worry.

Johnson - The only other strong statement was the system of grievances  
and the stuff they sent us in our judgment ~~xxxx~~ more strongly supported  
the stuff than what we had. But, the principle thing, we have just taken  
what Cates said yesterday morning. There were others all through the  
thing which were pointed out and we moderated -- like adjectives like  
bungling. I don't know anything else to do.

Whitehair - That is magnificent. It will be of great service. As a matter  
of fact, it gives me some ~~some~~ support, like Friday, when I showed you  
that chart, you said it showed what can be done when you try.

# COPY

Johnson - Hoey showed me how many Marines had been killed in cars and wrecks, and we put in some for the railroad.

Whitehair - Cates is worried about it. That is one Hell of a place. Although for 15 years I was general counsel for the Coastline Railway I told them ..... If this thing continues like it is, with the Marines carrying the burden of the fighting in the next 12 months, one out of three of those boys will be killed and by God they have a right to live the last 12 months in a better ~~way~~ kind of way than they are. I told the Coastline they should do something about it, by God.

Johnson - Get the best man attached to you when this report comes out, and instead of writing a long letter saying we have been aware of this for 20 years, you follow Pace's lead or Symington's, and if it is feasible and can be done, you write a letter to the committee and say I have read the report and I have immediately directed No. 1 - dissatisfaction, we are aware of dissatisfaction and have taken steps but we will take more, and No. 2 ..... Then the committee will pass a resolution commending the prompt action. Nobody blames Symington for a bad loan because he steps in and says I have done it and then everybody forgets about it. I think you can too when you get this report -- what can be done, what is feasible to be done, the riding stable -- just bing, bing, bing, and the other services will have to catch up with you.

Whitehair - I will do it.

Johnson - Tell Pollack the boys thought it was a very excellent meeting yesterday and you let him know the approach to it.

###

*Mary*